



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: October 28, 2014

| | |
|--|--|
| Title | Agenda Item Type |
| Judicial Council Report to the Legislature: Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts for Fiscal Year 2013–2014 | Action Required |
| Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected | Effective Date |
| None | October 28, 2014 |
| Recommended by | Date of Report |
| Judicial Council Staff Zlatko Theodorovic, Director Finance | October 10, 2014 |
| | Contact |
| | Steven Chang, 415-865-7195 steven.chang@jud.ca.gov |

Executive Summary

Judicial Council staff recommends approving the *Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts for Fiscal Year 2013–2014*. Government Code section 77202.5(a) requires that the Judicial Council report to the Legislature on all approved allocations and reimbursements to the trial courts in each fiscal year, to the chairs of the Senate Committees on Budget and Fiscal Review and Judiciary, and the Assembly Committees on Budget and Judiciary.

Recommendation

Judicial Council staff recommends that the Judicial Council:

1. Approve the report; and
2. Direct Judicial Council staff to submit the report to the chairs of the Senate Committees on Budget and Fiscal Review and Judiciary, and the Assembly Committees on Budget and Judiciary.

Previous Council Action

The council has approved previous fiscal year reports. These reports are posted on the California Courts website on the “Legislative Reports” web page: <http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm>.

Rationale for Recommendation

This report to the Legislature is required under Government Code section 77202.5(a), which requires that the report include:

- A statement of the intended purpose for which each allocation or reimbursement was made; and
- The policy governing trial court reserves.

Both items are provided by way of attachments to the report.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

This report was not circulated for comment.

Attachments

1. *Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts for Fiscal Year 2013–2014*



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel 415-865-4200
TDD 415-865-4272
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. KENNETH K. SO
Chair, Policy Coordination and
Liaison Committee

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.
Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. JAMES E. HERMAN
Chair, Technology Committee

Hon. Marla O. Anderson
Hon. Judith Ashmann-Gerst
Hon. Brian John Back
Hon. Marvin R. Baxter
Hon. Richard Bloom
Mr. Mark G. Bonino
Hon. James R. Brandlin
Hon. David De Alba
Hon. Emilie H. Elias
Hon. Noreen Evans
Mr. James P. Fox
Ms. Donna D. Melby
Hon. Gary Nadler
Ms. Debra Elaine Pole
Hon. David Rosenberg
Hon. Dean T. Stout
Hon. Martin J. Tangeman

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Mr. Richard D. Feldstein
Hon. David E. Gunn
Hon. Morris D. Jacobson
Hon. Brian L. McCabe
Mr. Frank A. McGuire
Hon. Marsha Slough
Ms. Mary Beth Todd
Hon. Charles D. Wachob
Hon. Joan P. Weber

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director,
Judicial Council

October 28, 2014

Hon. Mark Leno, Chair
Senate Committee on Budget
and Fiscal Review
State Capitol, Room 5100
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Nancy Skinner, Chair
Assembly Committee on Budget
State Capitol, Room 6026
Sacramento, California 94249

Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson, Chair
Senate Committee on Judiciary
State Capitol, Room 2032
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Bob Wieckowski, Chair
Assembly Committee on Judiciary
State Capitol, Room 4016
Sacramento, California 94249

*Re: Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts for
Fiscal Year 2013–2014*

Dear Senator Leno, Senator Jackson, Assembly Member Skinner, and
Assembly Member Wieckowski:

The Judicial Council respectfully submits the attached report on
allocations and reimbursements provided to the trial courts for fiscal year
(FY) 2013–2014, and on the Judicial Council’s policy governing trial
court reserves, as required by Government Code section 77202.5(a).

The allocations and reimbursements from state funds include the FY
2013–2014 base allocations for court operations; reimbursement for
specific trial court expenditure items including jury, self-help center,
court interpreter, and court-appointed dependency counsel costs; fee
revenue amounts that are distributed directly back to the related courts as
directed in statute or by the Judicial Council; and funding awarded to
individual trial courts from statewide programs, including state and
federal grants. Monies were provided from the following funds:

- Trial Court Trust Fund;
- State Court Facilities Construction Fund, Immediate and Critical
Needs Account;

Hon. Mark Leno
Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson
Hon. Nancy Skinner
Hon. Bob Wieckowski
October 28, 2014
Page 2

- State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund; and
- General Fund.

The allocations and reimbursements reflect disbursements to courts through September 4, 2014, and any remaining encumbrances as of September 4, 2014.

The council's current policy on trial court fund balances, revised July 29, 2014, is provided in Attachment 3.

If you have any questions related to this report, please contact Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council of California Finance, at 415-865-7584.

Sincerely,

Martin Hoshino
Administrative Director
Judicial Council of California

MH/SC

Attachment 1: 2013–2014 Allocations and Reimbursements to Courts

Attachment 2: Statement of Intended Purpose for Each Allocation or Reimbursement

Attachment 3: Judicial Council Trial Court Fund Balance Policy

cc: Members, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Members of the Judicial Council
Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel
Gregory P. Schmidt, Secretary of the Senate
E. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly
Margie Estrada, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Darrell Steinberg
Fredericka McGee, Deputy Chief of Staff, Office of Assembly Speaker Toni G. Atkins
Peggy Collins, Principal Consultant, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Benjamin Palmer, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee
Julie Salley-Gray, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee

Hon. Mark Leno
Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson
Hon. Nancy Skinner
Hon. Bob Wieckowski
October 28, 2014
Page 3

Jolie Onodera, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee
Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office
Mike Petersen, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office
Drew Liebert, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee
Chuck Nicol, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee
Marvin Deon II, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee
Allan Cooper, Consultant, Assembly Republican Fiscal Office
Paul Dress, Consultant, Assembly Republican Policy Office
Madelynn McClain, Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
Anita Lee, Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office
Tina McGee, Executive Secretary, Legislative Analyst's Office
Jody Patel, Chief of Staff, Judicial Council
Curtis L. Child, Chief Operating Officer, Judicial Council
Curt Soderlund, Chief Administrative Officer, Judicial Council
Cory T. Jaspersen, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council
Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Finance, Judicial Council
Peter Allen, Senior Manager, Communications, Judicial Council
Steven Chang, Manager, Finance, Judicial Council
Colin Simpson, Senior Budget Analyst, Finance, Judicial Council
Andi Liebenbaum, Senior Governmental Affairs Analyst, Governmental Affairs, Judicial
Council
Yvette Casillas-Sarcos, Administrative Coordinator, Governmental Affairs, Judicial
Council



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel 415-865-4200
TDD 415-865-4272
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
*Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council*

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. KENNETH K. SO
*Chair, Policy Coordination and
Liaison Committee*

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.
Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. JAMES E. HERMAN
Chair, Technology Committee

*Hon. Marla O. Anderson
Hon. Judith Ashmann-Gerst
Hon. Brian John Back
Hon. Marvin R. Baxter
Hon. Richard Bloom
Mr. Mark G. Bonino
Hon. James R. Brandlin
Hon. David De Alba
Hon. Emilie H. Elias
Hon. Noreen Evans
Mr. James P. Fox
Ms. Donna D. Melby
Hon. Gary Nadler
Ms. Debra Elaine Pole
Hon. David Rosenberg
Hon. Dean T. Stout
Hon. Martin J. Tangeman*

ADVISORY MEMBERS

*Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Mr. Richard D. Feldstein
Hon. David E. Gunn
Hon. Morris D. Jacobson
Hon. Brian L. McCabe
Mr. Frank A. McGuire
Hon. Marsha Slough
Ms. Mary Beth Todd
Hon. Charles D. Wachob
Hon. Joan P. Weber*

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO
*Administrative Director,
Judicial Council*

Report Title: *Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the
Trial Courts for Fiscal Year 2013–2014*

Statutory Citation: Stats. 2009, ch. 22, § 27
Code Section: Gov. Code, § 77202.5(a)

Date of Report: September 29, 2014

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Government Code section 77202.5(a), which requires the Judicial Council to report all approved allocations and reimbursements to the trial courts in each fiscal year.

The following summary of the report is provided per the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

The report provides fiscal year 2013–2014 allocations; reimbursement for specific trial court expenditure items including jury, self-help center, court interpreter, and court-appointed dependency counsel costs; fee revenue amounts that are distributed directly back to the related courts as directed in statute or by the Judicial Council; and funding awarded to individual trial courts from statewide programs, including state and federal grants. Monies were provided from the following funds:

- Trial Court Trust Fund (\$1.848 billion);
- State Court Facilities Construction Fund—Immediate and Critical Needs Account (\$50 million);
- State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (\$11 million); and
- General Fund (\$129 million).

The allocations and reimbursements reflect disbursements to courts through September 4, 2014, and any remaining encumbrances as of September 4, 2014.

The full report is available at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm>. A printed copy of the report may be obtained by calling 415-865-7966.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye
*Chief Justice of California and
Chair of the Judicial Council*

Hon. Martin Hoshino
*Administrative Director
Judicial Council of California*

Curt Soderlund
Chief Administrative Officer

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

FINANCE
Zlatko Theodorovic
Director

Steven Chang
Primary Author of Report

2013-2014 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts Report - Trial Court Trust Fund
 (Through September 4, 2014)

| | Allocation (Programs 30.15 and 45.10) | | | | | | | | | | | | Revenues | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Base Budget | Self Help in Base | \$261 Million Reduction | Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology Adjustment | 2% State-Level Reserve Contribution | 2012-13 Benefits Cost Changes | Criminal Justice Realignment | Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions | Supplemental Funding | Case Management System | Backfill of Unfunded 2011-12 Benefits Cost Changes | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel | Civil Assessment | 2012-13 Civil Assessment | Automated Record Keeping and Micrographics | Children's Waiting Room | Fee Revenues Returned to Courts |
| Superior Court | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | |
| Alameda | 80,078,615 | (104,209) | (12,666,297) | 526,359 | (6,003) | 1,117,440 | 422,025 | - | - | 197,112 | - | 7,305,657 | - | 104,612 | 174,397 | 650,319 | |
| Alpine | 597,538 | (34,058) | (94,049) | 7,226 | (47) | 7,957 | 685 | - | - | 2,176 | - | 8,366 | - | 20 | - | 4,466 | |
| Amador | 2,296,186 | (35,773) | (353,320) | 61,365 | (179) | 1,611 | 3,488 | - | - | 8,123 | - | 54,234 | - | 669 | - | 13,313 | |
| Butte | 8,750,898 | (44,097) | (1,280,650) | 338,963 | (658) | 95,367 | 74,150 | - | - | 11,926 | - | 245,599 | - | 14,315 | - | 123,945 | |
| Calaveras | 2,084,399 | (36,125) | (329,695) | 62,926 | (167) | 59,318 | 4,170 | - | - | 3,089 | - | 136,821 | - | 860 | - | 29,404 | |
| Colusa | 1,502,254 | (35,000) | (231,333) | 41,323 | (117) | 11,356 | 1,768 | - | - | 6,541 | - | 138,792 | - | 340 | - | 4,710 | |
| Contra Costa | 36,692,182 | (81,853) | (5,854,827) | 1,562,711 | (3,009) | 887,134 | 135,042 | - | - | 185,372 | - | 5,655,258 | - | 73,580 | 126,632 | 438,969 | |
| Del Norte | 2,479,042 | (35,357) | (395,977) | 79,107 | (201) | 62,921 | 5,188 | - | - | 7,095 | - | 87,040 | - | 479 | - | 13,464 | |
| El Dorado | 6,440,007 | (42,192) | (1,003,332) | 218,211 | (512) | 21,412 | 33,239 | - | - | 17,546 | - | 491,991 | - | 3,814 | 18,305 | 137,297 | |
| Fresno | 35,883,821 | (75,815) | (6,015,494) | 1,869,222 | (3,114) | 876,146 | 389,933 | - | 1,100,000 | 437,421 | - | 3,504,721 | - | 63,218 | 108,094 | 441,213 | |
| Glenn | 1,962,104 | (35,331) | (307,774) | 49,328 | (155) | 31,067 | 7,263 | - | - | 4,890 | - | 24,118 | - | 585 | - | 13,622 | |
| Humboldt | 5,823,753 | (40,161) | (899,208) | 56,321 | (433) | 83,444 | 61,006 | - | - | 10,891 | - | 900,862 | (55) | 7,416 | - | 124,159 | |
| Imperial | 7,388,673 | (41,744) | (1,163,759) | 348,882 | (566) | 230,012 | 32,124 | - | - | 9,752 | - | 1,174,733 | - | 9,382 | - | 102,717 | |
| Inyo | 2,055,047 | (34,861) | (328,243) | 50,201 | (150) | 54,537 | 2,595 | - | - | 3,037 | - | 78,394 | - | 262 | - | 15,802 | |
| Kern | 30,273,360 | (70,253) | (5,164,934) | 2,935,905 | (2,780) | 629,057 | 346,060 | - | - | 137,624 | 134,221 | 4,051,200 | - | 56,950 | - | 304,848 | |
| Kings | 5,963,888 | (40,868) | (905,041) | 272,294 | (432) | 6,952 | 50,992 | - | 130,168 | 4,135 | - | 497,151 | - | 8,643 | - | 94,144 | |
| Lake | 3,549,375 | (36,980) | (535,372) | (18,682) | (245) | (449) | 18,227 | - | - | 2,468 | - | - | - | 1,378 | - | 33,801 | |
| Lassen | 2,442,214 | (35,648) | (369,614) | 68,479 | (163) | 6,630 | 4,609 | - | - | 1,953 | - | 85,434 | - | 503 | - | 19,629 | |
| Los Angeles | 461,225,150 | (510,281) | (73,300,493) | 21,058,983 | (36,599) | 7,790,986 | 2,985,565 | (856,042) | - | 400,438 | 425,048 | 7,861,837 | - | 928,908 | 936,724 | 5,968,844 | |
| Madera | 6,617,294 | (40,713) | (1,072,087) | 205,243 | (512) | 137,838 | 46,314 | - | - | 20,491 | - | 525,755 | - | 2,614 | - | 78,761 | |
| Marin | 14,501,316 | (45,777) | (2,323,614) | (400,098) | (1,108) | 324,291 | 11,658 | (189,275) | - | 13,494 | - | 712,279 | - | 16,496 | - | 194,929 | |
| Mariposa | 1,044,366 | (34,847) | (161,348) | 32,895 | (82) | 6,416 | 8,900 | - | - | 1,616 | - | 38,074 | - | 278 | - | 11,140 | |
| Mendocino | 4,733,797 | (38,204) | (792,891) | 111,040 | (374) | 239,862 | 26,131 | - | - | 53,200 | - | 118,470 | - | 5,075 | - | 38,820 | |
| Merced | 9,436,829 | (45,471) | (1,572,501) | 787,510 | (839) | 269,194 | 79,121 | - | - | 59,590 | - | 1,432,208 | - | 13,556 | 22,732 | 120,165 | |
| Modoc | 1,044,861 | (34,457) | (162,083) | 16,977 | (81) | 1,273 | 1,383 | - | - | 677 | - | 10,542 | - | 299 | - | 13,689 | |
| Mono | 1,307,165 | (34,632) | (213,931) | 45,169 | (107) | 32,349 | 976 | - | - | 2,636 | - | 15,221 | - | 199 | - | 24,429 | |
| Monterey | 15,389,253 | (53,750) | (2,389,509) | 802,017 | (1,169) | 227,572 | 121,921 | - | - | 23,258 | - | 1,311,517 | - | 23,029 | - | 366,318 | |
| Napa | 7,127,712 | (40,250) | (1,133,532) | 53,947 | (537) | 107,676 | 14,319 | - | - | 31,938 | - | 466,394 | - | 2,855 | - | 53,807 | |
| Nevada | 4,899,085 | (38,651) | (765,782) | 96,592 | (348) | 100,179 | 8,437 | - | - | 11,792 | - | 427,341 | - | 5,623 | - | 71,024 | |
| Orange | 134,742,668 | (176,822) | (21,824,027) | 1,673,988 | (10,638) | 3,671,441 | 459,017 | (378,013) | - | 561,072 | - | 8,443,382 | - | 248,771 | 423,422 | 2,611,109 | |
| Placer | 12,698,913 | (48,713) | (2,038,438) | 781,216 | (1,068) | 238,459 | 45,537 | - | - | 68,510 | - | 1,100,869 | - | 24,387 | - | 215,603 | |
| Plumas | 1,610,300 | (34,997) | (244,536) | 33,256 | (123) | 273 | 1,667 | - | - | 3,609 | - | 19,385 | - | 356 | - | 7,997 | |
| Riverside | 68,011,669 | (124,803) | (10,469,236) | 5,203,028 | (5,421) | 685,149 | 439,826 | (223,512) | - | 113,940 | - | 17,710,129 | - | 56,789 | 290,080 | 1,579,964 | |
| Sacramento | 68,375,098 | (98,412) | (11,053,375) | 2,796,763 | (5,512) | 1,673,778 | 488,614 | - | - | 232,360 | 277,800 | 5,049,845 | - | 165,020 | 279,388 | 428,571 | |
| San Benito | 2,771,845 | (36,679) | (423,429) | 85,264 | (215) | 8,678 | 10,725 | - | - | 5,832 | - | 105,181 | - | 1,124 | - | 38,612 | |
| San Bernardino | 73,740,282 | (126,594) | (11,428,776) | 6,578,924 | (5,896) | 1,011,776 | 631,225 | - | - | 168,279 | - | 3,270,778 | - | 155,207 | - | 1,062,452 | |
| San Diego | 138,107,453 | (176,566) | (21,710,950) | 1,564,111 | (10,748) | 3,506,215 | 458,880 | (193,188) | - | 598,679 | 302,952 | 11,061,329 | - | 228,431 | 383,285 | 1,756,427 | |
| San Francisco | 57,351,380 | (71,128) | (9,431,454) | (470,569) | (4,596) | - | 201,293 | - | - | 424,526 | - | 5,359,512 | - | 81,035 | 112,455 | 943,256 | |
| San Joaquin | 25,793,036 | (65,065) | (4,173,567) | 1,753,890 | (2,175) | 756,034 | 221,748 | - | - | 122,869 | - | 509,164 | (1,240) | 46,176 | - | 277,043 | |
| San Luis Obispo | 12,576,583 | (46,237) | (1,941,534) | 394,598 | (967) | 36,773 | 62,211 | - | - | 11,922 | - | 617,192 | - | 15,941 | 26,818 | 187,484 | |
| San Mateo | 33,022,169 | (67,662) | (5,352,053) | 665,146 | (2,649) | 211,070 | 74,410 | - | - | 35,072 | - | 900,180 | - | 14,649 | 77,519 | 553,198 | |
| Santa Barbara | 20,809,281 | (53,600) | (3,361,529) | 183,622 | (1,579) | (21,451) | 74,721 | - | - | 122,995 | 372,893 | 1,711,403 | - | 25,320 | 43,866 | 194,675 | |
| Santa Clara | 82,365,309 | (116,433) | (12,895,089) | 159,598 | (6,352) | 1,120,423 | 284,368 | - | - | 150,995 | - | 3,724,398 | - | 102,859 | 177,027 | 1,610,761 | |
| Santa Cruz | 11,210,820 | (46,196) | (1,742,185) | 206,121 | (874) | 174,422 | 45,781 | (366,775) | - | 27,828 | - | 1,036,149 | - | 12,580 | - | 176,638 | |
| Shasta | 10,823,218 | (42,436) | (1,312,311) | 368,180 | (676) | (38,857) | 74,913 | - | - | 26,169 | - | - | - | 3,990 | - | 103,312 | |
| Sierra | 595,455 | (34,163) | (92,361) | 7,615 | (46) | 9,268 | 265 | - | - | 1,653 | - | 16,081 | - | 35 | - | 818 | |
| Siskiyou | 3,623,341 | (36,145) | (567,310) | (154,342) | (266) | 60,127 | 7,642 | - | - | 11,954 | - | 151,855 | - | 876 | - | 31,665 | |
| Solano | 17,990,483 | (53,656) | (2,819,781) | 1,105,054 | (1,442) | 417,276 | 153,165 | - | - | 77,850 | - | 1,472,083 | - | 33,592 | 55,986 | 279,866 | |
| Sonoma | 20,543,480 | (56,311) | (3,347,902) | 1,035,962 | (1,696) | 584,741 | 76,590 | - | - | 104,995 | - | 1,298,458 | - | 31,686 | 52,810 | 272,225 | |
| Stanslaus | 15,683,390 | (57,911) | (2,697,146) | 1,490,666 | (1,450) | 1,003,375 | 145,434 | - | - | 33,173 | - | 927,696 | - | 35,199 | 60,059 | 255,681 | |
| Sutter | 3,916,845 | (38,251) | (616,347) | 245,954 | (303) | 24,759 | 23,059 | - | - | 20,375 | - | 355,813 | - | 2,089 | - | 57,161 | |
| Tehama | 3,150,117 | (36,860) | (492,349) | 104,199 | (251) | 17,294 | 22,670 | - | - | 10,507 | - | 152,356 | - | 1,378 | - | 45,196 | |
| Trinity | 1,484,095 | (34,652) | (167,778) | 43,420 | (86) | 16,561 | 12,410 | - | - | 1,437 | - | - | - | 552 | - | 3,898 | |
| Tulare | 14,306,072 | (53,553) | (2,176,236) | 711,629 | (1,128) | 127,031 | 90,714 | - | - | 9,658 | - | 1,535,234 | - | 27,186 | - | 237,009 | |
| Tuolumne | 3,152,806 | (36,707) | (482,165) | 37,097 | (222) | 2,616 | 7,279 | - | - | 5,231 | - | 91,298 | - | 977 | - | 23,336 | |
| Ventura | 28,579,779 | (71,996) | (4,502,935) | 1,660,216 | (2,222) | 416,492 | 142,017 | - | - | 89,182 | - | 1,707,819 | - | 54,112 | 91,686 | 538,767 | |
| Yolo | 8,089,813 | (42,848) | (1,278,158) | 377,852 | (611) | 206,373 | 52,661 | - | - | 22,866 | 29,260 | 738,872 | - | 10,078 | - | 58,487 | |
| Yuba | 3,637,942 | (37,246) | (570,355) | 171,128 | (271) | 66,104 | 36,418 | - | - | 6,270 | - | 274,461 | - | 1,586 | - | 40,347 | |
| Total: | 1,642,353,825 | | (261,000,000) | 60,000,000 | (130,168) | 29,405,750 | 9,242,550 | (2,206,806) | 130,168 | 1,100,000 | 4,740,092 | 1,542,174 | 106,700,934 | (1,295) | 2,727,939 | 3,461,288 | 23,099,307 |

2013-2014 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts Report - Trial Court Trust Fund
(Through September 4, 2014)

| Superior Court | Reimbursements (Programs 45.10, 45.25, 45.45 and non-Budget Act item) | | | | | | | | | | | | Grants (Program 45.55) | | | Total |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Replacement of 2% Automation Fund Allocation | Telephonic Appearance | Court-Appointed Counsel | CAC -- DRAFT | Jury | Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Reimbursement | Elder Abuse | Self-Help Centers | Replacement Screening Stations | Annual Salary Reimbursement for Judges Program | Court Interpreters Program | Redevelopment Agency Writ Cases | Civil Case Coordination | Family Law Information Centers | Model Self-Help | |
| | Q | R | S | T | U | V | W | X | Y | Z | AA | AB | AC | AD | AE | |
| Alameda | 424,792 | - | - | 3,984,526 | 571,327 | - | 28,392 | 205,785 | 71,493 | 681,267 | 3,520,899 | - | - | - | - | 87,288,507 |
| Alpine | 2,034 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34,058 | - | - | 294 | - | - | - | - | 536,666 |
| Amador | 11,006 | 5,790 | 14,745 | 177,119 | 10,109 | - | - | 35,773 | - | - | 20,341 | - | - | - | - | 2,324,601 |
| Butte | 59,332 | 15,210 | 664,759 | - | 79,826 | - | 2,004 | 58,706 | 13,500 | 83,137 | 141,192 | - | - | - | 191,400 | 9,638,823 |
| Calaveras | 18,652 | 791 | 76,519 | - | 1,978 | - | 370 | 39,197 | 41,021 | - | 12,266 | - | - | - | - | 2,205,794 |
| Colusa | 13,708 | - | - | - | 1,454 | - | - | 36,448 | 5,100 | - | 92,102 | - | - | - | - | 1,589,445 |
| Contra Costa | 218,186 | - | 3,120,151 | - | 712,714 | - | 19,099 | 151,083 | 231,533 | 349,600 | 1,503,452 | - | - | - | 191,400 | 46,314,406 |
| Del Norte | 11,208 | - | 223,090 | - | 2,247 | - | 370 | 35,357 | 4,200 | - | 32,085 | - | - | - | - | 2,611,357 |
| El Dorado | 54,374 | 24,418 | - | 902,342 | 50,988 | - | - | 54,043 | - | - | 142,100 | - | - | - | - | 7,564,052 |
| Fresno | 181,080 | 75,930 | 2,931,645 | - | 314,406 | - | 14,811 | 136,311 | 16,500 | 403,381 | 2,021,249 | - | - | 86,250 | 191,400 | 44,952,330 |
| Glenn | 19,264 | 1,230 | 55,250 | - | 6,895 | - | - | 37,259 | 9,009 | 11,000 | 55,019 | - | - | - | - | 1,944,643 |
| Humboldt | 48,160 | 12,250 | 562,460 | - | 80,084 | - | 1,356 | 49,073 | 5,100 | 52,500 | 70,428 | - | - | - | - | 7,009,408 |
| Imperial | 67,678 | 25,465 | - | 617,705 | 68,498 | - | 3,546 | 52,948 | 11,065 | - | 434,817 | - | - | - | - | 9,371,928 |
| Inyo | 30,402 | 1,395 | 76,990 | - | 13,482 | - | 185 | 36,105 | 12,600 | - | 50,666 | - | - | - | - | 2,118,448 |
| Kern | 277,328 | 38,700 | 2,023,943 | - | 559,529 | - | - | 122,704 | 11,509 | - | 1,940,803 | - | - | - | - | 38,605,773 |
| Kings | 57,026 | 5,935 | 199,672 | - | 23,925 | 16,004 | 15 | 50,804 | 181,334 | - | 253,581 | - | - | - | - | 6,870,323 |
| Lake | 20,328 | - | - | 686,011 | 22,485 | - | 2,405 | 41,291 | 10,200 | - | 70,021 | - | - | - | - | 3,866,262 |
| Lassen | 20,156 | 4,241 | 108,374 | - | 4,974 | - | 401 | 38,032 | - | - | 6,516 | - | - | - | - | 2,406,722 |
| Los Angeles | 3,144,530 | - | - | 32,760,804 | 4,025,228 | 1,448,983 | 47,594 | 1,199,346 | 694,542 | - | 28,780,259 | - | 673,836 | 172,500 | 191,400 | 508,018,089 |
| Madera | 52,502 | - | 40,602 | - | 101,599 | - | 185 | 50,424 | - | - | 489,254 | - | - | - | - | 7,255,565 |
| Marin | 114,766 | 42,540 | - | 419,437 | 75,962 | - | 863 | 62,816 | 11,185 | - | 458,231 | - | - | - | - | 14,000,391 |
| Mariposa | 3,904 | - | 32,242 | - | 3,467 | - | - | 34,847 | - | 11,000 | 21,858 | - | - | - | - | 1,054,726 |
| Mendocino | 30,068 | 8,520 | - | 432,911 | 27,685 | - | 2,651 | 44,289 | - | 60,000 | 228,292 | - | - | - | - | 5,329,343 |
| Merced | 55,652 | 13,095 | 571,532 | - | 93,923 | - | 1,511 | 62,065 | 11,100 | - | 640,806 | - | - | - | - | 12,051,777 |
| Modoc | 6,134 | 776 | 16,064 | - | 3,058 | - | - | 35,001 | - | - | 5,534 | - | - | - | - | 959,647 |
| Mono | 12,446 | - | 12,329 | - | 2,988 | - | - | 34,632 | - | 11,000 | 32,708 | - | - | - | - | 1,285,578 |
| Monterey | 183,464 | - | 327,296 | - | 108,535 | 15,927 | 370 | 82,320 | 7,200 | 173,421 | 890,737 | - | - | - | - | 17,609,728 |
| Napa | 30,550 | 14,590 | 176,430 | - | 17,928 | - | 971 | 49,291 | 39,952 | 45,000 | 469,132 | - | - | - | - | 7,528,172 |
| Nevada | 49,946 | - | 232,799 | - | 8,590 | - | 92 | 45,383 | 13,957 | 45,000 | 23,187 | - | - | - | - | 5,234,246 |
| Orange | 923,882 | - | 6,113,460 | - | 804,549 | - | 47,492 | 383,451 | 1,800 | 1,104,299 | 7,789,975 | - | 18,977 | - | - | 147,633,255 |
| Placer | 77,378 | 24,920 | 414,411 | - | 55,190 | - | 1,326 | 70,000 | - | - | 340,872 | - | - | - | - | 14,069,372 |
| Plumas | 9,206 | 2,448 | - | 200,681 | 10,592 | - | 370 | 34,997 | 5,509 | - | 9,606 | - | - | - | - | 1,670,597 |
| Riverside | 532,226 | - | 4,171,897 | - | 881,456 | - | 11,437 | 256,174 | 296,923 | - | 3,264,561 | - | - | - | - | 92,682,277 |
| Sacramento | 340,254 | 43,920 | - | 5,378,190 | 627,109 | - | 22,320 | 191,601 | 14,400 | 567,710 | 3,267,290 | 146,697 | 8,743 | - | - | 79,218,174 |
| San Benito | 14,700 | - | 31,884 | - | 4,971 | - | - | 40,554 | 18,611 | 15,000 | 94,120 | - | - | - | - | 2,786,777 |
| San Bernardino | 435,474 | 239,760 | 3,587,297 | - | 556,217 | - | 6,428 | 260,555 | 32,500 | 659,951 | 4,289,123 | - | - | - | - | 85,124,963 |
| San Diego | 718,422 | - | - | 9,749,950 | 798,728 | - | 51,870 | 382,824 | 85,468 | - | 5,710,966 | - | 26,486 | - | - | 153,401,022 |
| San Francisco | 272,528 | 17,515 | 3,884,466 | - | 486,240 | - | 3,314 | 124,842 | - | 472,527 | 1,882,811 | - | 16,423 | - | 191,400 | 61,847,775 |
| San Joaquin | 201,698 | 51,955 | 50,000 | 3,041,901 | 261,596 | - | 10,944 | 110,010 | 17,700 | - | 1,112,028 | - | - | - | - | 30,095,746 |
| San Luis Obispo | 130,020 | 18,700 | - | 707,000 | 62,978 | - | 1,125 | 63,941 | 4,008 | 90,000 | 307,560 | - | - | - | - | 13,326,115 |
| San Mateo | 329,518 | 39,743 | 323,022 | - | 325,736 | 23,183 | 3,853 | 116,361 | 19,980 | 239,036 | 1,542,209 | - | - | - | - | 33,093,691 |
| Santa Barbara | 162,858 | 44,719 | - | 1,610,017 | 165,628 | - | - | 81,957 | 4,500 | 188,907 | 1,274,908 | - | - | 0 | - | 23,634,112 |
| Santa Clara | 452,782 | - | - | 4,695,131 | 506,534 | - | 8,292 | 235,693 | 16,800 | 708,362 | 3,237,147 | - | - | - | - | 86,538,606 |
| Santa Cruz | 113,210 | 21,904 | - | 889,765 | 117,927 | - | 2,251 | 63,840 | 10,200 | - | 707,780 | - | - | - | - | 12,661,186 |
| Shasta | 44,394 | 9,190 | 569,416 | - | 79,746 | - | 2,621 | 54,642 | 19,700 | 71,959 | 239,701 | - | - | - | - | 11,096,871 |
| Sierra | 1,830 | 630 | 1,127 | - | - | - | - | 34,399 | 5,509 | - | 2,921 | - | - | - | - | 551,036 |
| Siskiyou | 37,000 | - | 248,129 | - | 57,657 | - | 586 | 39,250 | 3,810 | 30,000 | 57,997 | - | - | - | - | 3,603,826 |
| Solano | 119,364 | 42,765 | - | 946,721 | 158,173 | - | 8,864 | 82,096 | 4,200 | 186,148 | 348,055 | - | - | - | - | 20,606,860 |
| Sonoma | 119,004 | 14,895 | - | 1,136,436 | 157,645 | - | 1,387 | 88,589 | 1,800 | 186,148 | 1,239,740 | - | - | - | - | 23,540,682 |
| Stanislaus | 88,718 | 46,740 | - | 1,175,637 | 120,646 | - | 5,935 | 92,505 | 21,900 | - | 650,946 | - | - | - | - | 19,081,192 |
| Sutter | 37,382 | 2,795 | 79,838 | - | 10,867 | - | 755 | 44,403 | - | - | 202,151 | - | - | 86,250 | - | 4,455,596 |
| Tehama | 28,100 | 1,340 | 91,767 | - | 4,163 | - | 555 | 40,998 | 5,100 | - | 235,565 | - | - | - | - | 3,381,846 |
| Trinity | 7,648 | 400 | 60,124 | - | 7,104 | - | - | 34,652 | - | - | 14,707 | - | - | - | - | 1,484,492 |
| Tulare | 204,932 | 12,890 | 658,892 | - | 155,227 | - | 1,911 | 81,842 | 6,900 | - | 1,439,673 | - | - | - | - | 17,375,884 |
| Tuolumne | 16,642 | 6,280 | 63,981 | - | 21,516 | - | 555 | 40,623 | - | 26,774 | 15,853 | - | - | - | - | 2,993,772 |
| Ventura | 205,304 | - | 754,727 | - | 363,741 | 35,384 | 7,753 | 126,966 | 5,400 | - | 1,457,602 | - | - | - | - | 31,659,794 |
| Yolo | 48,556 | - | 333,430 | - | 99,546 | - | 771 | 55,650 | 4,200 | 75,637 | 512,460 | - | - | - | - | 9,394,893 |
| Yuba | 15,788 | 9,456 | 199,732 | - | 21,770 | - | 2,436 | 41,942 | 6,000 | - | 36,376 | - | - | - | - | 3,805,884 |
| Total: | 10,907,494 | 943,840 | 33,104,492 | 69,512,283 | 13,917,134 | 1,539,481 | 332,340 | 6,190,750 | 2,015,017 | 6,548,765 | 83,692,530 | 146,697 | 744,466 | 345,000 | 957,000 | 1,848,363,047 |

2013-2014 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts Report - State Court Facilities Construction Fund - Immediate and Critical Needs Account
 (Through September 4, 2014)

| | Base Budget | Total |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Superior Court | A | B |
| Alameda | 2,437,921 | 2,437,921 |
| Alpine | 18,191 | 18,191 |
| Amador | 69,905 | 69,905 |
| Butte | 266,413 | 266,413 |
| Calaveras | 63,458 | 63,458 |
| Colusa | 45,735 | 45,735 |
| Contra Costa | 1,117,061 | 1,117,061 |
| Del Norte | 75,472 | 75,472 |
| El Dorado | 196,060 | 196,060 |
| Fresno | 1,092,451 | 1,092,451 |
| Glenn | 59,734 | 59,734 |
| Humboldt | 177,299 | 177,299 |
| Imperial | 224,942 | 224,942 |
| Inyo | 62,564 | 62,564 |
| Kern | 921,646 | 921,646 |
| Kings | 181,565 | 181,565 |
| Lake | 108,058 | 108,058 |
| Lassen | 74,351 | 74,351 |
| Los Angeles | 14,041,589 | 14,041,589 |
| Madera | 201,458 | 201,458 |
| Marin | 441,480 | 441,480 |
| Mariposa | 31,795 | 31,795 |
| Mendocino | 144,116 | 144,116 |
| Merced | 287,296 | 287,296 |
| Modoc | 31,810 | 31,810 |
| Mono | 39,796 | 39,796 |
| Monterey | 468,512 | 468,512 |
| Napa | 216,997 | 216,997 |
| Nevada | 149,148 | 149,148 |
| Orange | 4,102,121 | 4,102,121 |
| Placer | 386,607 | 386,607 |
| Plumas | 49,024 | 49,024 |
| Riverside | 2,070,555 | 2,070,555 |
| Sacramento | 2,081,619 | 2,081,619 |
| San Benito | 84,386 | 84,386 |
| San Bernardino | 2,244,957 | 2,244,957 |
| San Diego | 4,204,558 | 4,204,558 |
| San Francisco | 1,746,012 | 1,746,012 |
| San Joaquin | 785,246 | 785,246 |
| San Luis Obispo | 382,883 | 382,883 |
| San Mateo | 1,005,331 | 1,005,331 |
| Santa Barbara | 633,520 | 633,520 |
| Santa Clara | 2,507,539 | 2,507,539 |
| Santa Cruz | 341,303 | 341,303 |
| Shasta | 329,503 | 329,503 |
| Sierra | 18,128 | 18,128 |
| Siskiyou | 110,309 | 110,309 |
| Solano | 547,704 | 547,704 |
| Sonoma | 625,428 | 625,428 |
| Stanislaus | 477,467 | 477,467 |
| Sutter | 119,245 | 119,245 |
| Tehama | 95,903 | 95,903 |
| Trinity | 45,182 | 45,182 |
| Tulare | 435,536 | 435,536 |
| Tuolumne | 95,984 | 95,984 |
| Ventura | 870,086 | 870,086 |
| Yolo | 246,287 | 246,287 |
| Yuba | 110,754 | 110,754 |
| Total: | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 |

**2013-2014 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts Report - State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund
(Through September 4, 2014)**

| | Trial Court Security | Adobe Live Cycle Reader Service Extension | Self-Help Centers | Complex Civil Litigation Program | California JusticeCorps | Jury Management System | Total |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Superior Court | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| Alameda | 59,782 | | 203,150 | 510,800 | 122,000 | 60,000 | 955,732 |
| Alpine | | | | | | | - |
| Amador | | | 5,130 | | | | 5,130 |
| Butte | | | 29,216 | | | | 29,216 |
| Calaveras | | | 6,148 | | | | 6,148 |
| Colusa | | | 2,894 | | | 3,415 | 6,309 |
| Contra Costa | | | 138,462 | 420,960 | | | 559,422 |
| Del Norte | 26,997 | | 3,928 | | | | 30,925 |
| El Dorado | | | 23,702 | | | | 23,702 |
| Fresno | | | 120,994 | | | 12,974 | 133,968 |
| Glenn | | | 3,854 | | | | 3,854 |
| Humboldt | 39,800 | | 17,826 | | | 21,000 | 78,626 |
| Imperial | | | 22,407 | | | 7,000 | 29,407 |
| Inyo | 6,804 | | 2,490 | | | | 9,294 |
| Kern | | | 104,900 | | | | 104,900 |
| Kings | | | 19,870 | | | | 19,870 |
| Lake | 51,234 | | 8,622 | | | 1,620 | 61,476 |
| Lassen | 65,253 | | 4,768 | | | | 70,021 |
| Los Angeles | 8,759 | | 1,378,130 | 1,117,000 | 169,000 | | 2,672,889 |
| Madera | 2,430 | | 19,422 | | | 9,147 | 30,999 |
| Marin | | | 34,076 | | | | 34,076 |
| Mariposa | 5,979 | | 2,450 | | | | 8,429 |
| Mendocino | 602 | | 12,166 | | | 47,575 | 60,343 |
| Merced | 32,561 | | 33,190 | | | 7,949 | 73,700 |
| Modoc | | | 1,324 | | | | 1,324 |
| Mono | 14,332 | | 1,828 | | | | 16,160 |
| Monterey | | | 57,146 | | | 59,273 | 116,419 |
| Napa | 11,307 | | 18,084 | | | | 29,391 |
| Nevada | 26,374 | | 13,460 | | | | 39,834 |
| Orange | | 129,780 | 413,259 | 841,920 | | | 1,384,959 |
| Placer | | | 42,574 | | | | 42,574 |
| Plumas | 110,424 | | 2,884 | | | | 113,308 |
| Riverside | | | 262,742 | | | | 262,742 |
| Sacramento | | | 186,378 | | | | 186,378 |
| San Benito | 964 | | 7,751 | | | | 8,715 |
| San Bernardino | | | 267,920 | | | 57,680 | 325,600 |
| San Diego | 56,303 | | 412,518 | | 40,000 | | 508,821 |
| San Francisco | 57,628 | | 107,430 | 645,960 | | | 811,018 |
| San Joaquin | | | 89,888 | | | 12,340 | 102,228 |
| San Luis Obispo | | | 35,408 | | | | 35,408 |
| San Mateo | 29,724 | | 97,400 | | | | 127,124 |
| Santa Barbara | | | 56,712 | | | | 56,712 |
| Santa Clara | | | 238,520 | 464,370 | | 20,192 | 723,082 |
| Santa Cruz | | | 35,288 | | | | 35,288 |
| Shasta | 59,414 | | 24,411 | | | 60,000 | 143,825 |
| Sierra | 16,129 | | 470 | | | | 16,599 |
| Siskiyou | | | 6,208 | | | 35,760 | 41,968 |
| Solano | 70,149 | | 56,878 | | | 17,500 | 144,527 |
| Sonoma | 38,877 | | 64,556 | | | 22,680 | 126,113 |
| Stanislaus | 118,250 | | 69,188 | | | | 187,438 |
| Sutter | 621 | | 12,300 | | | | 12,921 |
| Tehama | | | 8,276 | | | 41,955 | 50,231 |
| Trinity | 8,571 | | 1,886 | | | | 10,457 |
| Tulare | | | 56,578 | | | 14,042 | 70,620 |
| Tuolumne | 18,348 | | 7,832 | | | | 26,180 |
| Ventura | | | 109,941 | | | 12,635 | 122,576 |
| Yolo | | | 25,604 | | | 15,263 | 40,867 |
| Yuba | | | 9,392 | | | 60,000 | 69,392 |
| Total | 937,617 | 129,780 | 4,999,829 | 4,001,010 | 331,000 | 600,000 | 10,999,236 |

2013-2014 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts Report - General Fund
(Through September 4, 2014)

| | AB 1058 | Collaborative Courts- Substance Abuse Programs | Access to Visitation | California Justice Corps | Service of Process | Homicide Trials | Prisoners' Hearings | California Adult Reentry Drug Court Project | Parolee Reentry Court Program CDCR | Employee Benefits | Total |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Superior Court | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K |
| Alameda | 1,850,688 | 24,741 | | 221,108 | 50,598 | | | 154,300 | 306,677 | 3,102,046 | 5,710,158 |
| Alpine | | | | | | | | | | 20,340 | 20,340 |
| Amador | 185,061 | 12,000 | | | 3,640 | 13,496 | 12,511 | | | 51,756 | 278,465 |
| Butte | 509,635 | 29,312 | 67,956 | | 14,485 | | | | | 124,076 | 745,464 |
| Calaveras | 284,687 | 12,000 | | | 4,440 | | | | | 50,506 | 351,633 |
| Colusa | 126,345 | | | | 140 | | | | | 24,773 | 151,258 |
| Contra Costa | 1,357,041 | 20,170 | | | 5,389 | | | 108,333 | | 1,396,191 | 2,887,124 |
| Del Norte | 119,050 | 16,513 | | | 3,780 | | | | | 94,129 | 233,472 |
| El Dorado | 401,271 | | 42,192 | | 8,190 | 54,586 | | | | 213,119 | 719,359 |
| Fresno | 2,578,257 | 40,740 | | | 12,530 | | 4,529 | | | 3,340,364 | 5,976,420 |
| Glenn | 245,121 | 29,312 | | | 1,680 | | | | | 54,665 | 330,778 |
| Humboldt | 194,590 | 16,513 | | | 11,795 | | | | | 73,084 | 295,982 |
| Imperial | 290,240 | | | | 8,365 | | 41,946 | | | 125,538 | 466,089 |
| Inyo | 146,116 | 12,000 | | | 1,120 | | | | | 75,586 | 234,822 |
| Kern | 1,367,693 | 38,454 | | | 19,600 | | 446,843 | | | 3,544,269 | 5,416,858 |
| Kings | 457,494 | 16,513 | | | 5,915 | | 219,180 | | | 45,117 | 744,219 |
| Lake | 248,866 | 12,000 | | | 6,230 | | | | | 9,123 | 276,219 |
| Lassen | 215,203 | 17,427 | | | 3,675 | | 59,525 | | | 7,839 | 303,669 |
| Los Angeles | 8,803,264 | 30,249 | | 456,680 | 496,195 | | 3,299 | | 99,954 | 18,887,969 | 28,777,610 |
| Madera | 384,593 | 21,998 | | | 5,565 | | 133,994 | | | 384,825 | 930,975 |
| Marin | 328,844 | 20,170 | | | 1,330 | | 2,565 | | | 644,512 | 997,421 |
| Mariposa | 124,361 | | | | 1,260 | | | | | 22,300 | 147,921 |
| Mendocino | 275,457 | 23,827 | 52,956 | | 10,185 | | | | | 311,770 | 674,195 |
| Merced | 840,534 | 12,000 | | | 11,620 | | | | | 774,827 | 1,638,981 |
| Modoc | 72,130 | 14,685 | | | 2,905 | | | | | 31,967 | 121,687 |
| Mono | 93,407 | | | | | | | | | 85,641 | 179,048 |
| Monterey | 643,324 | 41,197 | | | 5,390 | | 84,035 | | | 277,496 | 1,051,441 |
| Napa | 315,567 | | 52,956 | | 7,595 | | 4,696 | | | 309,796 | 690,610 |
| Nevada | 588,365 | 21,998 | | | 5,985 | | | | | 95,494 | 711,842 |
| Orange | 3,132,251 | 38,454 | 107,956 | | 72,660 | | | | | 6,929,920 | 10,281,241 |
| Placer | 531,076 | | | | 3,640 | | | | | 634,796 | 1,169,512 |
| Plumas | 163,945 | 14,685 | | | 1,645 | | | | | 14,929 | 195,204 |
| Riverside | 2,100,645 | 31,141 | | | 75,530 | | 19,785 | | | 923,657 | 3,150,757 |
| Sacramento | 1,855,257 | 21,998 | 39,956 | | 59,780 | | 169,579 | | | 3,560,591 | 5,707,161 |
| San Benito | 228,312 | | | | 35 | | | | | 34,642 | 262,989 |
| San Bernardino | 3,886,838 | 29,312 | | | 62,370 | | 29,828 | | | 1,264,732 | 5,273,080 |
| San Diego | 3,231,153 | 38,454 | | 127,105 | 295,330 | | 1,216 | 108,333 | 175,560 | 2,853,598 | 6,830,749 |
| San Francisco | 1,526,877 | 35,712 | 107,956 | | 39,060 | | | | | 5,487,134 | 7,196,739 |
| San Joaquin | 1,008,811 | 40,283 | | | 24,255 | | 56,209 | | | 1,245,356 | 2,374,914 |
| San Luis Obispo | 415,097 | 32,055 | | | 10,360 | | 44,751 | | | 298,958 | 801,221 |
| San Mateo | 692,117 | 24,741 | | | 18,690 | | | | | 2,411,112 | 3,146,660 |
| Santa Barbara | 823,935 | 41,197 | | | 12,985 | | | | | 1,597,662 | 2,475,779 |
| Santa Clara | 2,606,208 | 31,141 | 91,180 | | 45,850 | | | 108,333 | 295,000 | 2,309,467 | 5,487,179 |
| Santa Cruz | 332,686 | 34,798 | | | 10,605 | | | | | 203,557 | 581,646 |
| Shasta | 754,948 | 20,170 | | | 15,505 | | | | | 262,222 | 1,052,845 |
| Sierra | | 12,000 | | | | | | | | 9,615 | 21,615 |
| Siskiyou | 423,430 | 18,341 | | | 8,470 | | | | | 91,037 | 541,278 |
| Solano | 793,969 | 32,055 | | | 34,755 | | 45,604 | | | 353,779 | 1,260,162 |
| Sonoma | 872,713 | 41,197 | | | 10,465 | | | | | 1,172,049 | 2,096,424 |
| Stanislaus | 1,198,064 | 14,685 | | | 28,660 | | | | | 1,305,230 | 2,546,639 |
| Sutter | 316,114 | | | | 8,388 | | | | | 159,760 | 484,262 |
| Tehama | 149,751 | 21,998 | | | 315 | | | | | 108,184 | 280,248 |
| Trinity | 47,646 | | | | 70 | | | | | 53,679 | 101,395 |
| Tulare | 1,035,945 | 14,685 | 67,956 | | 16,730 | | | | | 33,744 | 1,169,060 |
| Tuolumne | 293,421 | 18,341 | | | 3,290 | | 8,597 | | | 50,351 | 374,000 |
| Ventura | 1,067,772 | 29,312 | | | 28,560 | | | | | 968,752 | 2,094,396 |
| Yolo | 348,071 | 14,685 | | | 1,890 | | | | | 210,076 | 574,722 |
| Yuba | 359,070 | 24,741 | 37,529 | | 5,845 | | | | | 90,867 | 518,052 |
| Total: | 53,243,326 | 1,160,000 | 668,593 | 804,893 | 1,605,340 | 68,082 | 1,388,691 | 479,299 | 877,191 | 68,818,575 | 129,113,990 |

Statement of Intended Purpose for Each Allocation or Reimbursement

Trial Court Trust Fund

| Column | Allocation/Reimbursement | Purpose |
|--------|--|---|
| A | Base Budget for Court Operations | This ongoing base allocation approved by the Judicial Council was provided for trial court operations. It reflects annual funding adjustments since the beginning of state trial court funding, including those related to the State Appropriations Limit and budget change proposals. |
| B | \$261 Million Reduction | This allocation was the implementation of cumulative General Fund reductions in prior years. |
| C | Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology Adjustment | This allocation was net adjustment of reallocating 15 percent of courts' historical base allocation for court operations, reallocating \$60 million of courts' historical base allocation for court operations above and beyond the 15 percent to match new funding for general court operations, and allocating \$60 million in new funding for court operations using the WAFM. |
| D | 2% State-Level Reserve Contribution | This allocation was for each court's net contribution to the state-level reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund, excluding any reserve ("supplemental") funding allocated by the council from the reserve prior to March 15, 2014. |
| E | 2012–2013 Benefits Cost Changes | This allocation was for unfunded benefit cost increases in 2012–2013. |
| F | Criminal Justice Realignment | This allocation was for costs associated with criminal justice realignment. |
| G | Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions | This allocation reduction, prorated from the date that the judge takes the oath of office, was for the cost of salaries and average calculated benefits for subordinate judicial positions that were converted to judgeships. Monies reduced from the courts' allocation augment the Program 45.25 (Compensation of Superior Court Judges) appropriation. |
| H | Approved Supplemental Funding Request | This allocation was for approved requests for funding from the 2% state-level reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund. |
| I | Case Management System | This allocation was for the deployment of a new case management system. |
| J | Backfill of Unfunded FY 2011–12 Benefits Cost Changes | This allocation was for the unfunded portion of FY 2011–2012 employee health and retirement and retiree benefit cost increases. |
| K | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel | This allocation supports pilot programs, which are required by Government Code section 68651, that are partnerships of a legal services nonprofit corporation, the court, and other legal services providers in the community. |
| L | Civil Assessment | This allocation was for collected civil assessment revenues that exceeded the amount of the court's county civil assessment buyout. |
| M | 2012–2013 Civil Assessment | This allocation was for collected civil assessment revenues that exceeded the amount of the court's county civil assessment buyout. |
| N | Automated Record Keeping and Micrographics | This allocation was for automation of record keeping and micrographics. |
| O | Children's Waiting Room | This allocation was for costs of operating a children's waiting room (except capital outlay). |
| P | Fee Revenues Returned to Courts | This allocation was to return to courts various local fees charged by courts based on the cost of providing a service or product. |
| Q | Replacement of 2% Automation Allocation Originally From the Improvement Fund | This allocation replaced funding previously provided from the 2% automation revenues deposited into the Trial Court Improvement Fund. The allocation amounts by court are specified in Government Code section 77207.5. |
| R | Telephonic Appearance | This allocation was to provide courts the amount they received in 2009–2010 from telephonic appearance revenue-sharing arrangements with vendors, as required by Government Code section 72011. |

| Column | Allocation/Reimbursement | Purpose |
|---------------|---|--|
| S | Court-Appointed Counsel | This allocation was for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures. |
| T | Court-Appointed Counsel DRAFT | This allocation was for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures for courts participating in the DRAFT program, in which the Judicial Council contracts with dependency counsel on behalf of specific courts. |
| U | Jury | This allocation was for reimbursement of eligible juror costs. |
| V | Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Reimbursement | This allocation was for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures from monies collected through the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program. |
| W | Elder Abuse | This allocation was for reimbursement of costs related to protective orders involving elder or dependent adult abuse. |
| X | Self-Help Centers | This allocation was for reimbursement of expenses charged in accordance with each court's memorandum of understanding for self-help center funding. |
| Y | Replacement Screening Stations | This allocation was for reimbursement of entrance screening station replacement costs. |
| Z | Annual Salary Reimbursement for Judges Program | This allocation was to reimburse the courts/counties for the part of judges' salaries that were not paid by the State Controller's Office. |
| AA | Court Interpreters Program | This allocation was for reimbursement of eligible Program 45.45 expenditures, including compensation of staff and contract interpreters. |
| AB | Redevelopment Agency Writ Cases | This allocation, from a non-Budget-Act appropriation for the Trial Court Trust Fund, was to reimburse the Superior Court of Sacramento County for costs related to redevelopment agency writ cases. |
| AC | Civil Case Coordination | This allocation was for reimbursement of the cost of handling coordinated cases. |
| AD | Family Law Information Centers | This grant allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances for costs related to projects in the Superior Courts of Los Angeles, Sutter, and Fresno Counties, which assist more than 45,000 low-income, self-represented litigants with forms, information, and resources in family law matters. |
| AE | Model Self-Help | This grant allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances for pilot self-help centers that would provide to self-represented litigants various forms of assistance, such as basic legal and procedural information, help filling out forms, and referrals to other community resources. |

State Court Facilities Construction Fund – Immediate and Critical Needs Account

| Column | Allocation/Reimbursement | Purpose |
|---------------|----------------------------------|--|
| A | Base Budget for Court Operations | This ongoing base allocation approved by the Judicial Council was provided for trial court operations. It reflects annual funding adjustments since the beginning of state trial court funding, including those related to the State Appropriations Limit and budget change proposals. |

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund

| Column | Allocation/Reimbursement | Purpose |
|---------------|---|---|
| A | Trial Court Security Grants | This allocation was for courts to complete various projects—such as installation of video surveillance and/or access systems, weapons-screening equipment, and security enhancements—and to develop and deliver the mechanism and training necessary for the courts to complete their own continuity of operations plans. |
| B | Adobe Live Cycle Reader Service Extension | This allocation was for a branch-wide license maintenance fee. |
| C | Self-Help Centers | This allocation was to establish or expand self-help assistance in family law, domestic violence, and other civil matters to every county in the state of California. |

| | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| D | Complex Civil Litigation Program | This allocation was for the reimbursement of costs related to complex civil litigation departments. |
| E | California JusticeCorps | This allocation was to support the California JusticeCorps Program, in which members assisted court-based attorneys in serving the public in court-based legal-access self-help centers in Alameda (representing a four-court Bay Area consortium), Los Angeles, Sacramento, and San Diego Counties. |
| F | Jury Management System | This allocation is for court jury management systems and is funded from royalty revenue related to jury instructions. |

General Fund

| Column | Allocation/Reimbursement | Purpose |
|--------|--|---|
| A | AB 1058 | This allocation was to provide funds for legislatively mandated child support commissioner and family law facilitator services in the courts. |
| B | Collaborative Courts–Substance Abuse Programs | This allocation was for grants that support drug and other collaborative justice court programs. |
| C | Access to Visitation | This allocation from the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement was to provide funding for programs that facilitate noncustodial parents’ access to and visitation with their children. The specific services provided include supervised visitation and exchanges, parent education, and group counseling services. |
| D | California JusticeCorps | This allocation was to administer the JusticeCorps Program in partnership with the Superior Courts of Alameda, Los Angeles, and San Diego Counties where students serve as assistants in self-help legal-access centers. |
| E | Service of Process | This allocation was to reimburse courts for the cost of serving stalking and harassment restraining orders and injunctions for which the courts were billed by the sheriff’s department per Gov. Code, § 6103.2(b)(4). |
| F | Homicide Trials | This allocation was to reimburse courts for extraordinary costs of homicide trials as specified in Gov. Code, § 15202. |
| G | Prisoners’ Hearings | This allocation was to reimburse trial courts for necessary and reasonable costs connected with state prisons, Division of Juvenile Justice institutions, prisoners, and wards, including costs for the preparation of trials or pretrial hearings, and actual trials or hearings, consistent with Pen. Code, §§ 4750–4755 and 6005. |
| H | California Adult Reentry Drug Court Project | This allocation is for \$1.3 million in three-year grants from the Bureau of Justice Assistance to support four Adult Reentry Drug Courts under the Superior Courts of Alameda, Contra Costa, San Diego and Santa Clara Counties in order to enhance their capacity to reduce recidivism among California’s high-risk, high-need nonviolent offenders with substance abuse issues. |
| I | Parolee Reentry Court Program CDCR Local Assistant | This allocation supports a two-year program, authorized by the Budget Act of 2013, which transferred \$3 million in funding from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to the Judicial Council for the purpose of expanding or enhancing existing parolee reentry courts in the Superior Courts of Alameda, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, and San Diego Counties with the goal of reducing recidivism among the parolee population. |
| J | Employee Benefits | This allocation was to reimburse for cost increases associated with employee health and retirement benefits and retiree health benefits for the period 2010–2011 through 2011–2012. |

FUND BALANCE POLICY

BACKGROUND

In the Supplemental Report of the 2006 Budget Act, the Legislature specified that the Judicial Council report on court reserves and provide its policy governing trial court reserves. On October 20, 2006 and revised on April 23, 2009, the Judicial Council approved a fund balance policy for trial courts. Financial accounting and reporting standards and guidelines have been established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The Trial Court Financial Policy and Procedures Manual, in compliance with these standards and guidelines, specifies that the trial courts are responsible for the employment of “sound business, financial and accounting practices” to conduct their operations.

In addition, Government Code section 77203 specifies that the Judicial Council has the authority to authorize trial courts to carry over unexpended funds from one year to the next. Consistent with this provision, this policy provides courts with specific directions for identifying fund balance resources necessary to address statutory and contractual obligations on an accurate and consistent basis as well as maintaining a minimum level of operating and emergency funds. In addition, this policy provides the necessary structure to ensure funds are available to maintain service levels for various situations that confront the trial courts including a late state budget.

GASB Statement 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2010, and will impact year-end closing statements for the fiscal year 2010–2011.

PURPOSE

Governmental agencies/entities report the difference between their assets and obligations as fund balance. Under GASB Statement 54, fund balances for governmental funds must be reported in classifications that comprise a hierarchy. The statement distinguishes between nonspendable and other amounts that are classified based on the relative strength of the constraints that control the purposes for which specific amounts can be spent. Under GASB 54, the number of classifications has been expanded from 2 to 5.

The purpose of this policy is to establish uniform standards, consistent with GASB 54, for the reporting of fund balance by trial courts and to maintain accountability over the public resources used to finance trial court operations.

POLICY

As publicly funded entities, and in accordance with good public policy, trial courts must ensure that the funds allocated and received from the state and other sources are used efficiently and accounted for properly and consistently. The trial courts shall account for and report fund balance in accordance with established standards, utilizing approved classifications. Additionally, a fund balance can never be negative.

Fund Balance Classifications

Beginning with the most binding constraints, fund balance amounts must be reported in the following classifications:

- Nonspendable Fund Balance
- Restricted Fund Balance
- Committed Fund Balance
- Assigned Fund Balance
- Unassigned Fund Balance (General Fund only)

When allocating fund balance to the classifications and categories, allocations must follow the following prioritization:

1. Nonspendable Fund Balance
2. Restricted Fund Balance
3. Contractual commitments to be paid in the next fiscal year
4. The minimum calculated operating and emergency fund balance
5. Other Judicial Council mandates to be paid in the next fiscal year
6. Contractual commitments to be paid in subsequent fiscal years
7. Assigned Fund Balance designations
8. Unassigned Fund Balance

If there is insufficient fund balance to cover any or all of the first five priorities, the shortfall should be explained in detail in attached footnotes. Also, there are additional reporting requirements when the amount allocated to the operating and emergency category is below the minimum required.

Nonspendable Fund Balance

Nonspendable Fund Balance includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form (not expected to be converted to cash) or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. Examples include:

- Inventories
- Prepaid amounts Long-Term Loans and Notes Receivable
- Principal of a permanent (e.g., endowment) fund

This represents the ‘newest’ classification in comparison to the descriptions used before the creation of GASB 54. To some extent, the remaining 4 classifications are somewhat mirrored in the prior definitions.

Restricted Fund Balance

Restricted Fund Balance includes amounts constrained for a specific purpose by external parties, constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

- Externally imposed
Imposed externally by grantors, creditors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other

governments (i.e., monies received by a grantor that can only be used for that purpose defined by the grant).

- Imposed by Law (Statutory)

A restricted fund balance that consists of unspent, receipted revenues whose use is statutorily restricted (e.g., children’s waiting room and dispute resolution program funding).

Committed Fund Balance

Committed Fund Balance includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Judicial Council. These committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Judicial Council removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action it employed to previously commit those amounts.

Committed Fund Balance must also include contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements. While the requirement to include contractual commitments is a policy decision of the Judicial Council, the type, number and execution of contracts is within the express authority of presiding judges or their designee.

[The following struckthrough language is suspended through December 31, 2014]

~~The Judicial Council has authorized a stabilization arrangement (Operating and Emergency fund category) to be set aside for use in emergency situations or when revenue shortages or budgetary imbalances might exist. The amount is subject to controls that dictate the circumstances under which the court would spend any of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance.~~

~~Each court must maintain a minimum operating and emergency fund balance at all times during a fiscal year as determined by the following calculation based upon the prior fiscal year’s ending total unrestricted general fund expenditures (excluding special revenue, debt service, permanent, proprietary, and fiduciary funds), less any material one time expenditures (e.g., large one time contracts):~~

~~———— Annual General Fund Expenditures
 ————— 5 percent of the first \$10,000,000
 ————— 4 percent of the next \$40,000,000
 ————— 3 percent of expenditures over \$50,000,000~~

~~If a court determines that it is unable to maintain the minimum operating and emergency fund balance level as identified above, the court must immediately notify the Administrative Director of the Courts, or designee, in writing and provide a plan with a specific timeframe to correct the situation.~~

Assigned Fund Balance

This is a fund balance that is constrained by the Presiding Judge, or designee, with the intent that it be used for specific purposes or designations that are neither unspendable, restricted nor committed.

Constraints imposed on the use of assigned amounts are more easily removed or modified than those imposed on amounts that are classified as committed. Assigned amounts are based on estimates and explanations of the methodology used to compute or determine the designated amount must be provided.

Assigned fund balances include:

- All remaining amounts that are reported in governmental funds, other than general fund, that are not classified as nonspendable and are neither restricted nor committed and
- Amounts in the general fund that are intended to be used for a specific purpose in accordance with the provision identified by the Presiding Judge, or designee.

Courts will identify assigned fund balances according to the following categories:

1. **One-time facility – Tenant improvements** Examples include carpet and fixture replacements.
2. **One-time facility – Other Examples** include amounts paid by the AOC on behalf of the courts.
3. **Statewide Administrative Infrastructure Initiatives.** Statewide assessment in support of technology initiatives (e.g., California Case Management System and Phoenix) will be identified in this designation.
4. **Local Infrastructure (Technology and non-technology needs)** Examples include interim case management systems and non-security equipment.
5. **One-time employee compensation (Leave obligation, retirement, etc.)** Amounts included in this category are exclusive of employee compensation amounts already included in the court's operating budget and not in a designated fund balance category.
 - a. One-time leave payments at separation from employment. If amounts are not already accounted for in a court's operating budget, estimated one-time payouts for vacation or annual leave to employees planning to separate from employment within the next fiscal year should be in this designated fund balance sub-category. This amount could be computed as the average amount paid out with separations or other leave payments during the last three years. Any anticipated non-normal or unusually high payout for an individual or individuals should be added to at the average amount calculated.

In a footnote, the court should note the amount of its employees' currently earned leave balance that is more than the established designated fund balance. The amount would be determined by multiplying the hours of earned vacation or annual leave on the payroll records for each employee times his or her current salary rate minus the designated fund balance established.

- b. Unfunded pension obligation. If documented by an actuarial report, the amount of unfunded pension obligation should be included as a designated fund balance. Employer

retirement plan contributions for the current fiscal year must be accounted for in the court's operating budget.

In a footnote, the court should note the amount of the current unfunded pension obligation that is in excess of the established designated fund balance.

- c. Unfunded retiree health care obligation. If documented by an actuarial report, the amount of unfunded retiree health care obligation should be included as a designated fund balance.

The current year's unfunded retiree health care obligation contains: (i) the current year Annual Required Contribution (ARC) based on a 30-year amortization of retiree health costs as of last fiscal year-end **and** (ii) the prior year retiree health care obligation **less** (iii) the retiree health care employer contributions and any transfers made to an irrevocable trust set up for this purpose. The current year's unfunded retiree health care obligation is to be added to the prior year's obligation.

Note: The ARC amounts are located in each court's actuarial report, which is entitled "Postretirement Benefit Valuation Report".

In a footnote, the court should note the amount of the cumulative unfunded retiree health care obligation that is in excess of the established designated fund balance.

- d. Workers compensation (if managed locally). The amount estimated to be paid out in the next fiscal year.
 - e. Use of reserve funds for liquidation of outstanding leave balances for employees in a layoff situation, consistent with the requirements of GASB 45; other examples would include reserving funds for the implementation of "enhanced retirement" or "golden handshake" programs in the interest of eliminating salaries at the "high end" or "top step", and thereby generating salary savings or rehires at the low end of a pay scale for position(s), but realizing one-time costs in the interest of longer term savings for the court.
6. **Professional and consultant services.** Examples include human resources, information technology, and other consultants.
 7. **Security.** Examples include security equipment, and pending increases for security service contracts.
 8. **Bridge Funding.** A court may choose to identify specific short or intermediate term funding amounts needed to address future needs that are otherwise not reportable, nor fit the criteria, in either restricted nor committed classifications, that it believes are necessary to identify through specific designations. These designations must be listed with a description in sufficient detail to determine their purpose and requirements.

9. **Miscellaneous (required to provide detail).** Any other planned commitments that are not appropriately included in one of the above designated fund balance sub-categories should be listed here with a description in sufficient detail to determine its purpose and requirements.

Unassigned Fund Balance – for General Fund Use Only

Unassigned Fund Balance is the residual classification for the general fund. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other fund balance and that has not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund.

The general fund is the only fund that shall report a positive unassigned fund balance amount.