



JUDICIAL COUNCIL of CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel. 415-865-4200
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. PATRICIA GUERRERO
Chief, Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. MARLA O. ANDERSON
Chair, Legislation Committee

HON. CARIN T. FUJISAKI
Chair, Rules Committee

HON. KYLE S. BRODIE
Chair, Technology Committee

Hon. Maria Lucy Armendariz
Hon. C. Todd Bottke
Hon. Kevin C. Brazile
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin
Hon. Carol A. Corrigan
Hon. Samuel K. Feng
Mr. David D. Fu
Hon. Brad R. Hill
Ms. Rachel W. Hill
Hon. Harold W. Hopp
Hon. Ann C. Moorman
Ms. Gretchen Nelson
Mr. Maxwell V. Pritt
Hon. Thomas J. Umberg

ADVISORY MEMBERS
Hon. Judith K. Dulcich
Ms. Rebecca J. Fleming
Mr. Shawn C. Landry
Hon. Kimberly Merrifield
Hon. Glenn Mondo
Hon. David Rosenberg
Mr. David H. Yamasaki

MS. MILLICENT TIDWELL
Acting Administrative Director
Judicial Council

February 28, 2023

Ms. Cara L. Jenkins
Legislative Counsel
1021 O Street, Suite 3210
Sacramento, California 95814

Ms. Erika Contreras
Secretary of the Senate
State Capitol, Room 305
Sacramento, California 95814

Ms. Sue Parker
Chief Clerk of the Assembly
State Capitol, Room 319
Sacramento, California 95814

Re: Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund, as required under the Budget Act of 2022 (Stats. 2022, ch. 45)

Dear Ms. Jenkins, Ms. Contreras, and Ms. Parker:

Pursuant to item 0250-101-0159, provision 5, of the Budget Act of 2022 (Stats. 2022, ch. 45), the Judicial Council is submitting the *Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund*.

This report identifies all programs and systems currently receiving support from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund. The programs and outcomes highlight many of the judicial branch's efforts to support access to justice for Californians throughout the state. Approved allocations by program can be found in Attachment A of the report.

If you have any questions related to this report, please contact Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Budget Services, at 916-263-1397 or

Ms. Cara L. Jenkins
Ms. Erika Contreras
Ms. Sue Parker
February 28, 2023
Page 2

zlatko.theodorovic@jud.ca.gov. Additional information can be found in the report or on the
“Legislative Reports” webpage of the California Courts website at www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm.

Sincerely,



Millicent Tidwell
Acting Administrative Director
Judicial Council

MT/RL

Attachment

cc: Eric Dang, Counsel, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Toni G. Atkins
Alf Brandt, General Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Shaun Naidu, Policy Consultant, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Anita Lee, Principal Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst’s Office
Gabriel Petek, Legislative Analyst, Legislative Analyst’s Office
Mark Jimenez, Principal Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee
Mary Kennedy, Chief Counsel, Senate Public Safety Committee
Nora Brackbill, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
Matthew Fleming, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee
Hans Hemann, Principal Consultant, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Morgan Branch, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office
Eric Csizmar, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office
Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office
Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee
Sandy Uribe, Chief Counsel, Assembly Public Safety Committee
Jennifer Kim, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee
Jay Dickenson, Chief Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee
Kimberly Horiuchi, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee
Lyndsay Mitchell, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy &
Budget Gary Olson, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy &
Budget Daryl Thomas, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy &
Budget Amy Leach, Minute Clerk, Office of Assembly Chief Clerk

Ms. Cara L. Jenkins

Ms. Erika Contreras

Ms. Sue Parker

February 28, 2023

Page 3

Cory T. Jaspersen, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council

Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Budget Services, Judicial Council

Fran Mueller, Deputy Director, Budget Services, Judicial Council

Brandy Olivera, Manager, Budget Services, Judicial Council

Jessie Romine, Supervisor, Budget Services, Judicial Council

Jennifer Herman, Administrative Coordinator, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council



JUDICIAL COUNCIL of CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel. 415-865-4200
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. PATRICIA GUERRERO
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. MARLA O. ANDERSON
Chair, Legislation Committee

HON. CARIN T. FUJISAKI
Chair, Rules Committee

HON. KYLE S. BRODIE
Chair, Technology Committee

Hon. Maria Lucy Armendariz
Hon. C. Todd Bottke
Hon. Kevin C. Brazile
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin
Hon. Carol A. Corrigan
Hon. Samuel K. Feng
Mr. David D. Fu
Hon. Brad R. Hill
Ms. Rachel W. Hill
Hon. Harold W. Hopp
Hon. Ann C. Moorman
Ms. Gretchen Nelson
Mr. Maxwell V. Pritt
Hon. Thomas J. Umberg

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Hon. Judith K. Dulcich
Ms. Rebecca J. Fleming
Mr. Shawn C. Landry
Hon. Kimberly Merrifield
Hon. Glenn Mondo
Hon. David Rosenberg
Mr. David H. Yamasaki

MS. MILLICENT TIDWELL
Acting Administrative Director
Judicial Council

Report title: *Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund*

Statutory citation: item 0250-102-0159, provision 5, of the Budget Act of 2022 (Stats. 2022, ch. 45)

Date of report: February 28, 2023

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with item 0250-102-0159, provision 5, of the Budget Act of 2022 (Stats. 2022, ch. 45).

This report identifies all programs and systems currently receiving support from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund. The programs and outcomes highlight many of the judicial branch's efforts to support access to justice for Californians throughout the state. Approved allocations by program can be found in Attachment A of the report.

The full report can be accessed at www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm.

A printed copy of the report may be obtained by calling 415-865-7966.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

Hon. Patricia Guerrero

*Chief Justice of California and
Chair of the Judicial Council*

Millicent Tidwell

*Acting Administrative Director
Judicial Council*

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

John Wordlaw

Chief Administrative Officer

BUDGET SERVICES

Zlatko Theodorovic

Director

Rose Lane

*Senior Analyst and
Primary Author of Report*



Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund

MARCH 2023



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION
BUDGET SERVICES

Table of Contents

Background..... 1

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Allocations..... 1

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Overview 1

Achieved Outcomes and Benefits of State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Resources for Trial Courts2

 Audit Services 2

 Trial Court Procurement..... 2

 Phoenix System—Financial and Human Resources Systems 3

 Business Management Services—Juror Source List Update 3

 New Judge Education 3

 Primary Assignment Orientation Courses for Experienced Judges 4

 Continuing Judicial Education for Experienced Judges..... 4

 Center for Judicial Education and Research Faculty—Trial Court Faculty Costs—Statewide Education Programs..... 5

 Essential Court Management Education 5

 Essential Court Personnel Education 5

 Domestic Violence Forms Translation 5

 Self-Help Centers and Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs 5

 Interactive Software—Electronic Forms for Self-Represented Litigants 6

 Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Project..... 6

 Court Interpreters Program—Testing, Development, Recruitment, and Education 6

 California Courts Protective Order Registry..... 6

 Case Management System Replacement 7

 Data Center & Cloud Service..... 7

 Data Integration 7

 Jury Management Systems 7

 Statewide Planning and Development Support 7

 Telecommunications Support 8

 Uniform Civil Filing System 8

Ongoing Funding Needs for the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund..... 8

Attachments and Links..... 9

Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund

Background

The Budget Act of 2022 (Stats. 2022, ch. 45), item 0250-102-0159, provision 5, requires the Judicial Council to submit a report identifying all programs and systems currently receiving support from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF). For each program or system, the report shall (a) identify the amount of funding provided annually since the 2016–17 fiscal year; (b) describe why each program or system has not been supported by other Judicial Council or trial court operations funding; (c) include data on achieved outcomes or other benefits; and (d) identify the amount of ongoing funding, if any, needed.

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Allocations

The Judicial Council approves annual allocations from the IMF for various programs and projects every July. Total IMF allocations since 2016-17 are displayed in the table below. Detailed allocations for each fiscal year are included in Attachment A of this report.

| Total IMF Allocations by Fiscal Year¹ | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2016-17² | 2017-18³ | 2018-19⁴ | 2019-20⁵ | 2020-21⁶ | 2021-22⁷ |
| \$ 64,459,000 | \$ 73,457,000 | \$ 57,137,000 | \$ 80,080,000 | \$ 54,489,000 | \$ 55,002,000 |

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Overview

The IMF is an important component of the judicial branch budget supporting statewide services for the trial courts, ongoing technology programs and infrastructure initiatives, educational and development programs, innovative and model programs, and other special projects.

¹ Rounded to thousands.

² Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Allocations: Fiscal Year 2016–2017 Allocations from Trial Court Trust Fund and State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund* (June 23–24, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4496693&GUID=FE6C1F1D-A68F-4CB8-B4E7-0596B5A59994>.

³ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Fiscal Year 2017–2018 Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund* (May 18–19, 2017), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5147128&GUID=C95A3064-8E68-4F4A-8FD0-433A8E0B78FA>.

⁴ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: 2018–19 Allocations from State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund and Trial Court Trust Fund* (July 20, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6353562&GUID=974B4384-C082-473B-9395-78256248329F>.

⁵ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2019–20* (July 18–19, 2019), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7510955&GUID=84899E4B-7F2B-480C-A4E1-BC771C1F42EB>.

⁶ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Courts: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Allocations for 2020–21* (July 24, 2020), Report (July 24, 2020), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=8643581&GUID=628FB200-383E-44F3-9364-FFCD4871F0D8>.

⁷ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budgets: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Allocations for 2021–22* (July 9, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9508493&GUID=3C9CB987-3755-4398-975C-C60386BBEB1B>.

*Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement
and Modernization Fund*

Previously, there were multiple fund sources that supported trial court expenditures including the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF), the Trial Court Improvement Fund (TCIF), and the Judicial Administrative Efficiency and Modernization Fund (Modernization Fund). Senate Bill (SB) 1021 (Stats. 2012, ch. 41) merged the TCIF and the Modernization Fund to create the IMF. This was done to clearly delineate the TCTF as the fund source for trial court operations and the new IMF as the fund source for administrative and improvement projects, programs, and systems for the trial courts.

Moneys in the IMF may be expended to implement trial court projects, including automated system improvements, approved by the Judicial Council. The primary revenue sources for the fund include 2 percent of all fines, penalties, and forfeitures collected in criminal cases pursuant to Government Code section 68090.8(b) (see Link A) and royalties received from the publications of uniform jury instructions pursuant to Government Code section 77209(h) (see Link B). Royalties received are used for the improvement of the jury system.

All programs and systems that utilize IMF funding, in lieu of other Judicial Council or trial court operations funding, represent allowable expenditures from the fund that support trial court services and improvements. In addition, expenditures may be made to vendors or individual trial courts that have the responsibility to implement approved projects. Other judicial branch funding sources are dedicated to maintaining vital existing service levels in the trial courts and to address critical facility modification projects.

Achieved Outcomes and Benefits of State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Resources for Trial Courts

Resources from the IMF that support various council programs and trial court projects improve trial court administration, increase access to justice throughout the state, and improve court management, efficiency, case processing, and the timeliness of trials. A description of notable achieved outcomes and benefits is included below.

Audit Services

Funds have been utilized to perform recurring audits of the state's 58 trial courts to assess their compliance with the council's policies and procedures. From 2016-17 through 2021-22, a total of 25 IMF-funded trial court audits were completed. These audits include the review of various aspects of court operations, including court procurement activity, the accuracy of reported case filings, and vendor payment controls.

Trial Court Procurement

Funds have been utilized to maintain statewide contracts for the trial courts. The statewide contracts are leveraged procurement agreements designed to consolidate the procurement needs of the courts and leverage their combined buying power to reduce prices, improve terms and conditions, and improve procurement efficiency. The Judicial Council's Branch Accounting and

Procurement office currently maintains approximately 54 statewide contracts within 18 goods/services categories on behalf of the trial courts, which are publicly accessible.

Phoenix System—Financial and Human Resources Systems

Pursuant to Government Code section 68090.8, the Judicial Council is required to develop automated administrative systems, including accounting, data collection through case management systems, and case-processing systems for the trial courts, and to make funding available for this purpose. The use of IMF funding for this purpose is consistent with the goals of the Trial Court Funding Act, Assembly Bill (AB) 233 (Stats. 1997, ch. 850).

Funds have been utilized to establish the Phoenix System to provide statewide fiscal accountability and human resources support as part of the Judicial Council’s strategic plan. The system provides daily, centralized administrative support to the trial courts, including accounting and financial services, trust accounting services, purchasing services, a centralized treasury system, human capital management services, and core business analysis, training, and support.

The judicial branch benefits from an integrated, staff-administered program promoting statewide consistency in court administrative practices. The financial component of the Phoenix System has been implemented in all 58 courts and allows for uniform processing, accounting, and reporting.

Business Management Services—Juror Source List Update

Funds have been utilized for the Trial Court Performance Measures Study. These funds were allocated to assist courts in complying with the requirements of SB 592 (Stats. 2019, ch. 230), which deems the list of resident state tax filers as a source list for the selection of jurors and requires the Franchise Tax Board to provide a list of resident tax filers to the jury commissioners in their respective counties in consultation with the council. These funds support court jury management systems and modules as well as technology and supplies to address the potential increases in juror attendance.

New Judge Education

Funds have been utilized for new judge education. California Rules of Court, rule 10.462(c)(1) requires all newly elected and appointed judges and subordinate judicial officers (SJO) to (1) attend the New Judge Orientation program within six months of taking the oath of office, (2) take an orientation course in their primary assignment within one year of taking the oath of office, and (3) attend the B. E. Witkin Judicial College within two years of taking the oath of office. By rule of court, the Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) is the sole provider for these education programs, which supports up to 750 participants each year.

- ***New Judge Orientation.*** The specific number of courses required in any given year depends on the number of SJOs appointed, elected, or hired each year.

*Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement
and Modernization Fund*

- ***Primary Assignment Orientation.*** These courses provide new judges and SJOs with an intense immersion into their primary assignment (i.e., civil, criminal, probate, family, juvenile, or traffic) with a strong emphasis on detailed procedures, protocols, and classroom exercises designed to test skills in the assignment.
- ***B. E. Witkin Judicial College.*** The two-week judicial college is normally offered once each year.

These educational courses also provide staggered opportunities for new judges and SJOs to develop relationships that can support them throughout their careers.

Primary Assignment Orientation Courses for Experienced Judges

Funds have been used for primary assignment courses for experienced judges.

- In addition to the primary assignment courses for new judges, CJER offers assignment courses for experienced judges who are moving into new assignments that are substantively more complex and nuanced than their former assignments (i.e., felony sentencing, homicide trials, and capital cases). These programs are also designed to fulfill other statutory or case law-based education requirements.
- CJER offers courses dealing specifically with domestic violence issues. These courses are funded by IMF and grant funding. By completing the domestic violence course, judges and SJOs also meet the specified education requirements and expectations of California Rules of Court, rule 10.464.

Continuing Judicial Education for Experienced Judges

Funds have been used for continuing judicial education for more than 700 experienced judges each year.

- ***Advanced judicial education courses for experienced judges.*** CJER develops and provides advanced courses for experienced judges. These continuing education courses were designed to address advanced judging issues and include courses such as “Complex Civil Litigation,” “Civil and Criminal Evidence,” and “Experienced Probate Law” as well as specialized courses in handling domestic violence and sexual assault cases. These statewide programs provide judges and SJOs with the opportunity to work with and learn from their colleagues and exchange techniques and strategies.
- ***Judicial institutes.*** The Cow County Institute and the Probate Law Institute were held in person, and the Family Law Institute was held remotely. CJER offers institutes in the major trial court bench assignments (i.e., civil, criminal, family, juvenile, and probate) as well as specific programs for appellate justices, rural court judges, appellate court attorneys, and trial court attorneys.

*Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement
and Modernization Fund*

- **Leadership training.** The Presiding Judge/Court Executive Officer Management Institute was held remotely, and the Supervising Judge Institute was held in person. These programs offer participants a chance to learn management techniques, strategies, and best practices designed for the unique environment of the courts. They enable judges to fulfill continuing education hours and expectations under California Rules of Court, rule 10.462(c)(2).

**Center for Judicial Education and Research Faculty—Trial Court Faculty Costs—
Statewide Education Programs**

Funds have been utilized for faculty development programs and course design workshops, which are foundational for new faculty and are designed to support specific courses or programs. This includes the new judge orientation and judicial college programs.

Essential Court Management Education

Funds have been utilized for court manager and supervisor education for approximately 600 participants each year.

- **Core leadership courses.** The core leadership series of courses are multiple-day programs that provide an intensive experience for new and experienced trial court supervisors and managers.
- **Institute for Court Management (ICM) courses.** ICM courses lead to certification by the National Center for State Courts in many national curriculum areas related to court management. The courses provide relevant education for court leaders based on the core competencies identified by the National Association for Court Management.

Essential Court Personnel Education

Funds have been utilized to pay for participant and faculty costs associated with court personnel education for approximately 600 participants each year. This instruction included video, regional, and local education offerings as well as the Trial Court Judicial Attorney Institute and the Court Clerk Training Institute.

Domestic Violence Forms Translation

Funds have been utilized for the translation of 22 new and updated domestic violence forms and instructions into Spanish, Chinese, Korean, and Vietnamese. They were also made available for the online Self-Help Guide to the California Courts.

Self-Help Centers and Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs

Provision 3 of item 0250-102-0159 of the Budget Act of 2022 requires that \$5 million is to be used for the support of services for self-represented litigants. Funds have been utilized by the trial courts for public self-help center programs and operations that provide court-based

assistance to self-represented litigants. Self-help services increase the efficiency of other court operations, with approximately 800,000 self-represented litigants served in 2021-22.

Funds have also been utilized to support statewide services available to court self-help centers in the 58 trial courts. The allocation supported updates to instructional materials and forms used by self-help centers and the public, a web platform for education for self-help center staff and supported self-help center webinars. It also paid for legal updates and new content for the online Self-Help Guide to the California Courts and the new statewide Self-Help Portal.

Interactive Software—Electronic Forms for Self-Represented Litigants

Funds have been utilized to develop document assembly software programs that simplify the process of completing council forms and other pleadings, including updating 240 existing programs and revising them for court forms changed in the legislative process or by changes in poverty level. Using a specified model, litigants enter information once and the program automatically populates that information on the form, saving substantial time and assisting self-represented litigants in preparing thorough and accurate pleadings.

Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Project

Funds have been utilized by 11 legal services organizations and five partner courts under the Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Project. This supplemental funding was provided to the programs from fees collected under AB 590 (Stats. 2009, ch. 457). These projects provide legal representation to low-income parties on critical legal issues affecting basic human needs and are operated by legal services nonprofit corporations working in collaboration with their local trial courts.

Court Interpreters Program—Testing, Development, Recruitment, and Education

Funds have been utilized to support the court interpreter testing program. The council's Court Interpreters Program (CIP) contracts with a third-party exam administrator for the interpreting testing program to provide the following services: administering interpreting exams, training, and managing exam proctors and raters, operating a customer support call center, updating existing exams and developing new ones, processing appeals, providing reports, and maintaining a web presence.

The CIP continued to work with the third-party vendor to resume court interpreter testing under state and local health and safety requirements and to provide more testing opportunities. Approximately 7,000 examinations were administered from 2016-17 through 2021-22.

California Courts Protective Order Registry

Funds have been utilized to fund a statewide protective order repository that provides complete, accessible information on restraining and protective orders, including images of those orders, to the 46 participating counties as well as limited read-only access to 14 tribal courts. The allocation covered the hosting costs of the California Courts Protective Order Registry

application at the California Courts Technology Center, application maintenance and enhancements, updates required by mandatory legislative changes, and daily operational support to the courts and their local law enforcement agency partners, who are users of the system.

Case Management System Replacement

Funds have been utilized by 10 trial courts (Amador, Colusa, Contra Costa, Lassen, Marin, Mariposa, Mono, Nevada, Shasta, and Solano) to replace their legacy case management systems.

Data Center & Cloud Service

Funds have been utilized for the Data Center & Cloud Service to provide ongoing technology center hosting for participating courts, shared services to the trial courts, and a full disaster-recovery program. Many courts leverage the contract with the vendor to receive information technology (IT) services for their courts, including Microsoft Office migration assistance, help desk services, file server management, cloud migration assistance, and other services as needed.

Data Integration

Funds have been utilized to continue work with trial courts to provide system interfaces between the council, the courts, and justice system partners, including law enforcement agencies and the California Department of Justice. The allocation also covered the hosting costs of the Integration Services Backbone applications for Data Center & Cloud Service, infrastructure support, application maintenance and upgrades, and daily operational support to the courts for all environments including testing, staging, and production.

Jury Management Systems

Funds have been utilized in jury grants awarded to 11 courts to provide funding for 23 different jury projects. The jury projects that received funding included (1) two projects to upgrade courts' jury management systems; (2) five projects for Interactive Voice Response/Interactive Web Response enhancements; (3) 11 projects to upgrade, replace, or add peripheral hardware such as audio systems and informational display monitors; and (4) five projects involving imaging, form integration, and juror self-check-in.

Statewide Planning and Development Support

Funds have been utilized to provide enterprise products and services for use by trial courts and to support the council in providing tools and applications to manage projects and programs at an enterprise level.

This program provides the trial courts access to a variety of products from Oracle, Adobe, and Gartner. The trial courts have access to products such as Oracle's Database Enterprise Edition, Real Application Clusters, Advanced Security, Diagnostics Pack, and WebLogic Server. Funding is provided to continue ongoing software maintenance for Adobe Forms, and for access to

educational resources that offer technical research and knowledge libraries, security and risk management best practices, and consultation with subject-matter experts.

The program also funded enterprise architect (EA) support services. These services provide support for several branchwide initiatives that will help improve and advance infrastructure services for the trial courts. The branchwide initiatives included the initiation of identity management, a data warehouse/data share-house, the electronic Courts of Appeal Records and Transcripts (eCART) program, and technology to improve access for the public.

The identity management system will enable the trial courts to have a standardized means for enabling and managing access for the public to court digital services. The data share-house initiative is a modern method for the trial courts to manage and share data with the branch and with other justice partners. The eCART program enables the trial courts to automate the assembly of transcripts and deliver them to the Courts of Appeal. The access technology initiative includes the application of intelligent chat technology, video remote access technology, and voice-to-text translation services that are supported by data integration. In addition to the initiatives, the EA support services provided architectural oversight and guidance to existing branchwide trial court systems and programs, plus architectural and technical guidance to the trial courts as needed.

Telecommunications Support

Funds have been utilized for the trial courts to develop, maintain, and support a standardized level of local- and wide-area network infrastructure. This infrastructure provides a foundation for the deployment and operation of both local court and enterprise IT services and applications, including those for the Data Center & Cloud Service.

Uniform Civil Filing System

Funds have been utilized to provide ongoing application support and maintenance and application software upgrades to the Uniform Civil Filing (UCF) system. This program supports the distribution and mandated reporting of UCFs collected by the 58 trial courts.

Ongoing Funding Needs for the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund

In 2022-23, the Judicial Council approved total IMF allocations of \$45.4 million for various programs and projects⁸. Of this amount, \$7.5 million is for state operations costs and \$37.9 million is for local assistance. The 2022-23 approved allocation is a 17.5 percent reduction from the prior fiscal year – \$55 million in 2021-22. On-going funding needs for the IMF are assessed

⁸ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Allocations for 2022–23* (July 15, 2022), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11017083&GUID=892EF6DD-939A-4662-9E15-053A001A3BB0>.

*Report of Programs and Systems Supported by the State Trial Court Improvement
and Modernization Fund*

on an annual basis and take into consideration program needs, operational priorities, and available resources.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: State Trial Court IMF Approved Allocations Summary
2. Link A: Government Code section 68090.8,
https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=68090.8.&lawCode=GOV.
3. Link B: Government Code section 77209,
https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=77209&lawCode=GOV.

Judicial Council of California
State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund
Approved Allocations Summary

| Program Name | Office | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
|---|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Superior Court Audit Program | AS | \$ 660,000 | \$ 660,000 | \$ 370,000 | | | |
| Audit Services | AS | | | | \$ 409,804 | \$ 409,804 | \$ 360,000 |
| Phoenix Program | BAP | | 3,705,668 | 1,381,205 | | | |
| Trial Court Procurement/Trial Court Administrative Services-Master Services Agreement - IMF | BAP | 122,000 | 122,000 | 122,000 | 138,625 | | |
| Trial Court Master Agreements | BAP | | | | | 151,500 | 157,000 |
| Records Management - 2017-18 Budget Change Proposal (BCP) | BAP | | 9,500 | | | | |
| Workload Assessment Advisory Committee | BMS | | | 13,000 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 9,000 |
| Juror Source List Update | BMS | | | | | | 200,000 |
| Budget Focused Training and Meetings | BSO | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 |
| Treasury Services - Cash Management | BSO | 242,100 | 242,100 | 265,000 | 298,216 | 312,000 | 319,000 |
| Revenue Distribution Training | BSO | | | 9,500 | 9,500 | 9,500 | 10,000 |
| Domestic Violence Forms Translation | CFCC | 17,000 | 17,000 | 17,000 | 17,000 | 17,000 | 17,000 |
| Self-Help Document Assembly Programs | CFCC | 60,000 | | | | | |
| Interactive Software - Self-Represented Electronic Forms | CFCC | | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Self-Help Center | CFCC | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |
| Statewide Multidisciplinary Education | CFCC | 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 |
| Shriver Civil Counsel - Cy Pres Funding | CFCC | | | | 520,692 | 1,570,692 | 1,289,000 |
| Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs | CFCC | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Court Interpreter Testing etc. | CFCC | | | | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 |
| Court Interpreter Testing etc. | COSSO | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 | | | |
| Trial Court Workload Study Support | COSSO | 13,000 | 13,000 | | | | |
| Distance Education | CJER | 118,000 | 20,000 | 7,500 | | | |
| CJER Faculty | CJER | 299,000 | 316,000 | 340,000 | 36,000 | 61,500 | 48,000 |
| Essential Court Management Education | CJER | 18,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 | 35,000 | 38,500 | 40,000 |
| Essential Court Personnel Education | CJER | 74,000 | 116,000 | 91,000 | 215,000 | 136,500 | 130,000 |
| Judicial Education | CJER | 693,000 | 732,000 | 745,500 | 916,000 | 965,500 | 848,000 |
| Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums | HR | 25,700 | 25,700 | 22,700 | 22,700 | 22,700 | 23,000 |
| California Courts Technology Center (CCTC) | IT | 9,668,300 | 9,776,446 | 7,949,505 | | | |
| Madera CCTC Transition | IT | | 572,622 | | | | |
| CCTC Operations | IT | | | 1,479,754 | | | |
| Data Center and Cloud Service (formerly CCTC) | IT | | | | 7,995,247 | 9,148,882 | |
| Data Center and Cloud Service (formerly CCTC Operations) | IT | | | | 1,718,714 | | 8,582,000 |
| California Courts Protective Order Registry Development (CCPOR) | IT | 740,300 | 716,414 | 325,726 | 524,200 | 1,252,705 | 1,200,000 |
| CCPOR (Read-Only Memory) | IT | | | 418,285 | 364,848 | | |
| Integration Services Backbone Support | IT | | | 554,966 | 946,153 | | |
| Uniform Civil Filing Services | IT | 372,200 | 392,438 | 389,084 | 423,779 | 409,382 | 437,000 |
| Phoenix Program | IT | | | 1,772,796 | | | |
| Telecommunications Program | IT | 17,558,800 | 16,694,771 | | | | |
| Telecommunications Support | IT | | | 9,951,140 | 11,749,425 | 13,204,519 | 12,470,000 |
| Enterprise Policy & Planning (Statewide Planning and Development Support) | IT | 6,763,000 | 5,407,312 | 4,721,364 | 4,342,185 | 4,399,681 | 3,887,000 |

Judicial Council of California
State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund
Approved Allocations Summary

| Program Name | Office | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
|---|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Interim CMSs | IT | 1,039,700 | 1,358,787 | 1,453,628 | 1,441,032 | | |
| Data Integration | IT | 3,422,600 | 2,059,234 | 1,668,285 | 1,841,149 | 2,308,342 | 1,879,000 |
| Jury Management System | IT | 465,000 | 465,000 | 465,000 | 665,000 | 665,000 | 665,000 |
| Placer Court Hosting Center Project | IT | 736,500 | | | | | |
| Testing Tools - Enterprise Test Management Suite | IT | 159,100 | | | | | |
| Telecom | IT | | | 5,509,000 | 5,509,354 | 5,509,354 | 5,509,000 |
| Futures Commission (2019-20 BCP) | IT | | | | 853,000 | | |
| Digitizing Court Records (2019-20 BCP) | IT | | | | 4,853,000 | | |
| Case Management Systems (CMSs), Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) | IT | 5,174,000 | 4,226,987 | | | | |
| CMSs, Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) Replacement (BCP) | IT | | 9,200,000 | | | | |
| Sustain Justice Edition CMS | IT | | 4,100,000 | 896,000 | | | |
| V3 CMS Transition | IT | | | 500,000 | | | |
| V3 - Interim CMS/CMS Release Management Support | IT | | | 776,811 | 619,669 | | |
| V3 Case Management System (2018-19 BCP) | IT | | | 2,595,027 | 1,481,970 | | |
| CMS Replacement - Phase IV (2019-20 BCP) | IT | | | | 22,777,259 | | |
| CMS Replacement | IT | | | | | 6,358,000 | 3,053,000 |
| Trial Courts Transactional Assistance Program | LSO | 651,000 | 651,000 | 651,000 | | | |
| Judicial Performance Defense Insurance | LSO | 966,600 | 1,150,000 | 1,150,000 | 1,200,000 | 1,312,438 | 1,509,000 |
| Jury System Improvement Projects | LSO | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| Regional Office Assistance Group | LSO | 1,100,000 | 750,000 | 568,500 | 589,192 | 778,000 | 823,000 |
| Litigation Management Program | LSO | 4,160,000 | 4,500,000 | 4,500,000 | 2,118,647 | | 6,119,000 |
| Court-Ordered Debt Task Force | TCAS | 9,500 | | | | | |
| Phoenix Program | TCAS | 3,751,200 | | | | | |
| Total Allocations | | \$ 64,458,600 | \$ 73,456,979 | \$ 57,137,276 | \$ 80,079,860 | \$ 54,488,999 | \$ 55,002,000 |

| Totals by Judicial Council Office | Office | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
|--|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Audit Services | AS | \$ 660,000 | \$ 660,000 | \$ 370,000 | \$ 409,804 | \$ 409,804 | \$ 360,000 |
| Branch Accounting and Procurement | BAP | 122,000 | 3,837,168 | 1,503,205 | 138,625 | 151,500 | 157,000 |
| Business Management Systems | BMS | - | - | 13,000 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 209,000 |
| Budget Services Office | BSO | 292,100 | 292,100 | 324,500 | 357,716 | 371,500 | 359,000 |
| Center for Families, Children & the Courts | CFCC | 5,244,000 | 5,244,000 | 5,244,000 | 5,907,692 | 6,957,692 | 6,676,000 |
| Court Operations Services Office | COSSO | 156,000 | 156,000 | 143,000 | - | - | - |
| Center for Judicial Education and Research | CJER | 1,202,000 | 1,202,000 | 1,202,000 | 1,202,000 | 1,202,000 | 1,066,000 |
| Human Resources | HR | 25,700 | 25,700 | 22,700 | 22,700 | 22,700 | 23,000 |
| Information Technology | IT | 46,099,500 | 54,970,011 | 41,426,371 | 68,105,984 | 43,255,865 | 37,682,000 |
| Legal Services Office | LSO | 6,896,600 | 7,070,000 | 6,888,500 | 3,926,839 | 2,109,438 | 8,470,000 |
| Trial Court Administrative Services | TCAS | 3,760,700 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Allocations | | \$ 64,458,600 | \$ 73,456,979 | \$ 57,137,276 | \$ 80,079,860 | \$ 54,488,999 | \$ 55,002,000 |